

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/775,274	02/10/2004	Huiyan Guo	A0101-US0101	1279
41763 7	590 10/05/2006	EXAMINER		INER
AZURE INSTITUTE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT.			NGUYEN, BAO THUY L	
4108 SORRENTO VALLEY BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO,	SAN DIEGO, CA 92124 .			<u> </u>
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/05/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/775,274	GUO ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen	1641					
pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
ehruani 2004						
						
This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
,						
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	pate					
	Examiner Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen Pears on the cover sheet with the or Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE grade of this communication, even if timely filed to action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, process parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4: The extension of the drawing of					

Application/Control Number: 10/775,274

Art Unit: 1641

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5, drawn to an improvement in an immunoassay, classified in class 435, subclass 287.1, for example.
- II. Claims 6-12, drawn to a test strip, classified in class 436, subclass 518, for example.
- III. Claims 13-21, drawn to an analyte test device, classified in class 422, subclass 56, for example.
- IV. Claims 22-31, drawn to a procedure control, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1, for example.
- V. Claims 32-35, drawn to an lateral flow test device, classified in class 436, subclass 287.7, for example.
- **2.** The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II-V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different designs and different modes of operation.

Inventions II and III-V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the

different inventions have different designs and different modes of operation. The test strip of Group II has components that are different from the test device of III, IV and V.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required and because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), and the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- **4.** Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,274 Page 4

Art Unit: 1641

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-0824. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday and Wednesday from 8:00 a.m. -4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.